

Internal Examinations Appeals Policy

Date Agreed – October 2023

Review Date - October 2024



Contents

1.	Rationale	Page 3
2.	Character Development: Commitment to Equality and Diversity	Page 3
3.	Purpose of the procedure	Page 4
4.	Appeals relating to internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)	Page 5
5.	Appeals relating to centre decisions not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal	Page 8
6.	Appeals regarding centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration	Page 11
7.	Further guidance to inform and implement appeals procedures	Page 13
8.	Internal Appeals Form	Page 15
9.	Internal Appeals Log	Page 17
10.	Review of Marking Template	Page 18

(See also separate External Examinations Appeals Policy and External Examinations Policy)



Rationale

This policy exists to provide a framework for supporting our stated aim of "ensuring the happiness of every individual in our community", to promote a climate which enables all students to flourish, regardless of ability or special needs, and supports our desired outcomes of developing "strong character".

Character Development: Commitment to Equality and Diversity

This school is founded on a set of fundamental values designed to enable all students and adults connected with our community to flourish and succeed, regardless of background or circumstances. We are determined to be open to people, places, methods and ideas—and as such, equality and diversity are at the heart of everything we do. Our continued dedication to social justice and equality of opportunity is embodied in everything we do.

We are creating an inclusive school community where:

- People are treated with dignity and respect.
- Inequalities are challenged.
- We anticipate, and respond positively to, different needs and circumstances so that everyone can achieve their potential.
- We value diversity and we recognise that different people bring different perspectives, ideas, knowledge and culture, and that this difference brings great strength.
- We believe that discrimination or exclusion based on individual characteristics and circumstances, such as age; disability; caring or dependency responsibilities; gender or gender identity; marriage and civil partnership status; political opinion; pregnancy and maternity; race, colour, caste, nationality, ethnic or national origin; religion or belief; sexual orientation; socio-economic background; trade union membership status or other distinctions, represents a waste of talent and a denial of opportunity for self-fulfilment.
- We recognise that patterns of under achievement at any level and differences in outcomes can be challenged through positive intervention activities designed to bridge gaps.
- We respect the rights of individuals, including the right to hold different views and beliefs.
 We will not allow these differences to be manifested in a way that is hostile or degrading to others.
- We expect commitment and involvement from all our staff, students, partners and providers of goods and services in working towards the achievement of our vision.



Purpose of the procedure

This procedure confirms Kings Langley School compliance with the Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) *General Regulations for Approved Centres* (section 5.3x) that the centre will:

 Have in place and available for inspection a written internal appeals procedure which must cover at least appeals regarding internal assessment decisions, post-result services and appeals, and centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration

This procedure covers appeals relating to:

- Internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)
- Centre decisions not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal
- Centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration
- Centre decisions relating to other administrative issues



Appeals relating to internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)

Certain GCSE, GCE and other qualifications contain components of non-examination assessment (or units of coursework) which are internally assessed (marked) by Kings Langley School and internally standardised. The marks awarded (the internal assessment decisions) which contribute to the final grade of the qualification are then submitted by the deadline set by the awarding body for external moderation.

This procedure confirms Kings Langley School compliance with the JCQ *General Regulations for Approved Centres* (section 5.7) that the centre will:

- Have in place and be available for inspection purposes, a written internal appeals procedure
 relating to internal assessment decisions and to ensure that details of this procedure are
 communicated, made widely available and accessible to all candidates
- Before submitting marks to the awarding body inform candidates of their centre assessed marks and allow a candidate to request a review of the centre's marking

Table of GCE (A-level) Non-Examination Assessment (NEA) Deadlines

School deadlines are subject to change in extenuating circumstances.

A Level NEA Overview and Provisional Deadlines 2023-24

Subject	NEA Start Date	NEA Title	Percentage of Final Grade	NEA Deadline for Students	NEA Marks to Students	Deadline for Requests for Reviews of Marking from Students	NEA Exam Board Deadline	Exam Board	Staff	Spec	Unit	Level		
PE	Spring Term Year 13	Practical Performances	15%	Fri 16th Feb	Fri 1st Mar	Fri 8th Mar	Fri 15th Mar	OCR	ANE	H555	H555/05	GCE		
PE	Autumn Term Year 12	Evaluataion and Analysis or Performance for Improvement (EAPI)	15%	Fri 16th Feb	FILISTMAI	Fri oth Mar	FR 15th Mar	OCK	ANE	нэээ	H555/06	GCE		
History	Summer Term Year 12	Independent Research Enquiry	20%	Fri 1st Mar	Fri 26th Apr	Fri 3rd May	Wed 15th May	Pearson	HAD	9H10	9HID/04	GCE		
Extended Project	Spring Term Year 12	Independent Research Project and Presentation	100%	Fri 2nd Feb	Fri 26th Apr	Fri 3rd May	Wed 15th May	AQA	sco	7993	7993	GCE		
Drama	Spring Term Year 12	Creating Original Drama (Working Notebook and Devised Performance)	30%	Fri 16th Feb	Fri 26th Apr						7262/C			
Drama	Spring Term Year 12	Making Theatre (Practical Performance and Reflective Report)	30%	TBC by external examiner			- Wed 15th May	5th May AQA	ABB	7262	7262/X	GCE		
English Literature A	Summer Term Year 12	Independent Critical Study - Texts Across Time	20%	Wed 20th Dec	Fri 26th Apr	Fri 3rd May	Wed 15th May	AQA	MCG	7712A	7712/C	GCE		
Blology	Autumn Term Year 12	Practical Endorsement*	0%	Mon 11th Mar	Fri 26th Apr	Fri 3rd May	Wed 15th May	OCR	мск	H420A	H420A/4	GCE		
Chemistry	Autumn Term Year 12	Practical Endorsement*	0%	Mon 11th Mar	Fri 26th Apr	Fri 3rd May	Wed 15th May	OCR	мск	H432A	H432/4	GCE		
Physics A	Autumn Term Year 12	Practical Endorsement*	0%	Mon 11th Mar	Fri 26th Apr	Fri 3rd May	Wed 15th May	OCR	MCK	H556A	H556/4	GCE		
Geography	Summer Term Year 12	Independent Investigation	20%	Tue 12th Mar	Fri 26th Apr	Fri 3rd May	Wed 15th May	Pearson	СНА	9GE0	9GE0/04	GCE		
	Autumn Term Year 12	Performance	34% or 26%	Date of Examiner Visit TBC			Wed 15th May	ed 15th May WJEC	VJEC WAR	A660PA or A660PB	A660PA (Specialism In Performing)			
Music		Composition	26% or 34%	Date of Examiner Visit TBC					WAR		A660PB (Specialism in Composing)	GCE		
D&T Graphic Products	Summer Term Year 12	Independent Design and Make Project	50%	Thu 28th Mar	Fri 26th Apr	Fri 3rd May	Wed 15th May	Pearson	нои	9DT0	9DT0/02	GCE		
D&T Fashion & Textiles	Summer Term Year 12	Substantial Design and Make Project	50%	Mon 15th Apr	Fri 26th Apr	Fri 3rd May	Wed 15th May	AQA	SCA	7562	7562/C	GCE		
,,,	Spring Term	Personal Investigation	60%	Wed 31st Jan		54 47% 11-1	48hrs before	Day	4500	0515	0540.04	005		
AIT	Year 12	Year 12	Year 12	Externally Set Assignment	40%	Tue 30th Apr	Fri 10th May	Fri 17th May	moderator visits	Pearson	ARN	9FAD	9FA0/01	GCE
Cholorester	Spring Term	Personal Investigation	60%	Wed 31st Jan	– Fri 10th May	- Fri 10th May	Fri 10th May	Cel 47% 15	48hrs before	Pearson	,	9PY0	9PY0/01	GCE
Photography	Year 12	Externally Set Assignment	40%	Tue 30th Apr				Fri 17th May	moderator visits	Pearson	ARN	SPYU	997001	GCE

^{*} The practical endorsement in A-level Biology, Chemistry and Physics is a complusory part of the course which must be passed; however, it does not contribute to students' final grades.



Table of GCSE Non-Examination Assessment (NEA) Deadlines

School deadlines are subject to change in extenuating circumstances.

GCSE NEA Overview and Provisonal Deadlines 2023-24

Subject	NEA Start Date	NEA Title	Percentage of Final Grade	NEA Deadline for Students	NEA Marks to Students	Deadline for Requests for Reviews of Marking from Students	NEA Exam Board Deadline	Exam Board	Staff	Spec	Unit	Level
English Language	Summer Term Year 10	Spoken Language	Endorsed Component	Completed in Y10	Fri 15th Mar	Fri 22nd Mar	Sun 5th May	WJEC	MCG	C700QS	C700U30-1	GCSE
PE	Autumn Term Year 11	Written Task (Analysis and Evaluation of Performance)	10%	Wed 20th Dec	Fri 1st Mar	Fri 8th Mar	Fri 15th Mar	OCR ANE	J587	J587/05	GCSE	
	Autumn Term Year 10	Practical Performances	30%	Fri 16th Feb	Fri 1st Mar	Fri 8th Mar	Fri 15th Mar		Alle	3307	J587/04	GUSE
Drama	Spring Term Year 10	Devising Drama (3 Essays and a Practical Performance)	40% Full-screen	30th Oct 2023 Snip	Fri 19th Apr	Fri 28th Apr	Tue 7th May	AQA	ABB	8261	8261/C	GCSE
Dialila	Autumn Term Year 11	Texts in Practice (Practical Performance)	20%	TBC by external examiner	Fri 19th Apr	111 25417 45	Toe running					GGSE
Music	Autumn Term Year 10	Performing	30%	Thu 8th Feb	Fri 19th Apr Fri 28th Apr Sun 5th May Edi	Eduqas	WAR	C660QS -	C660U10	GCSE		
Music	Autumn Term Year 10	Composing	30%	Mon 25th Mar	rii ieui (pi	711 2001 Арл		Luuqas	WAIN	000043	C660U20	GGSE
Design and Technology	Summer Term Year 10	Independent Design and Make Project	50%	Fri 15th Mar	Fri 19th Apr	Fri 26th Apr	Tue 7th May	AQA	SCA	8552	8552/C	GCSE
Food Preparation & Nutrition	Autumn Term Year 11	Food Investigation Task	15%	Thu 28th Mar	Fri 19th Apr	Fri 26th Apr	Tue 7th May	AQA	SCA	8585	8585/C	GCSE
rood rieparation a nutrition	Autumn Term Year 11	Food Preparation Task	35%	Thu 2501 Mai	PH Telli Cpi	РП 2001 Арг	Tue 701 Way	Ş	5	8363	8585/C	GCSE
Art and Design	Pe Autumn Term	Personal Portfolio	60%	Wed 20th Dec 23	Fri 3rd May	Fri 10th May	48hrs before				1FA0 01	GCSE
Art and Design	Year 10	Externally Set Assignment	40%	Mon 22nd Apr	rn aru may	rii luui may	moderator visits	Pearson	ARN	1AD0	IFAU UI	GUSE

^{*} The Spoken Language endorsement in GCSE English Language is a complusory part of the course; however, it does not contribute to students' final grades.



Kings Langley School is committed to ensuring that whenever its staff mark candidates' work, this is done fairly, consistently and in accordance with the awarding body's specification and subject-specific associated documents.

Kings Langley School ensures that all centre staff follow a robust *Non-Examination Assessment Policy* (for the management of GCE and GCSE non-examination assessments). This policy details all procedures relating to non-examination assessments for GCE and GCSE, including the marking and quality assurance/internal standardisation processes which relevant teaching staff are required to follow.

Candidates' work will be marked by staff who have appropriate knowledge, understanding and skill, and who have been trained in this activity. Kings Langley School is committed to ensuring that work produced by candidates is authenticated in line with the requirements of the awarding body. Where more than one subject teacher/tutor is involved in marking candidates' work, internal moderation and standardisation will ensure consistency of marking.

On being informed of their centre assessed marks, if a candidate believes that the above procedures were not followed in relation to the marking of his/her work, or that the assessor has not properly applied the marking standards to his/her marking, then he/she may make use of the appeals procedure below to consider whether to request a review of the centre's marking.

Kings Langley School will:

- 1. ensure that candidates are informed of their centre assessed marks so that they may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body
- 2. inform candidates that they will need to explain on what grounds they wish to request a review of an internally assessed mark as a review will only focus on the quality of their work in meeting the published assessment criteria
- 3. inform candidates that they may request copies of materials (generally as a minimum, a copy of the marked assessment material (work) and the mark scheme or assessment criteria plus additional materials which may vary from subject to subject) to assist them in considering whether to request a review of the centre's marking of the assessment
- 4. having received a request for copies of materials, promptly make them available to the candidate (or for some marked assessment materials, such as art work and recordings, inform the candidate that the originals will be shared under supervised conditions) within 1 calendar day
- 5. inform candidates they will not be allowed access to original assessment material unless supervised
- 6. provide candidates with sufficient time in order to allow them to review copies of materials and reach a decision, informing candidates that if their decision is to request a review, they will need to explain what they believe the issue to be
- 7. provide a clear deadline for candidates to submit a request for a review of the centre's marking. Requests will not be accepted after this deadline. Requests must be made in



writing within 3 calendar days of receiving copies of the requested materials by completing the **internal appeals form**

- 8. allow 5 calendar days for the review to be carried out, to make any necessary changes to marks and to inform the candidate of the outcome, all before the awarding body's deadline for the submission of marks
- ensure that the review of marking is conducted by an assessor who has appropriate
 competence, has had no previous involvement in the assessment of that candidate for the
 component in question and has no personal interest in the outcome of the review
- 10. instruct the reviewer to ensure that the candidate's mark is consistent with the standard set by the centre
- 11. inform the candidate in writing of the outcome of the review of the centre's marking

The outcome of the review of the centre's marking will be made known to the head of centre who will have the final decision if there is any disagreement on the mark to be submitted to the awarding body. A written record of the review will be kept and made available to the awarding body upon request.

The awarding body will be informed if the centre does not accept the outcome of a review.

The moderation process carried out by the awarding bodies may result in a mark change, either upwards or downwards, even after an internal review. The internal review process is in place to ensure consistency of marking within the centre, whereas moderation by the awarding body ensures that centre marking is in line with national standards. The mark submitted to the awarding body is subject to change and should therefore be considered provisional.

This procedure is informed by the JCQ publications *Instructions for conducting non-examination* assessments (section 6.1), *Review of marking (centre assessed marks) suggested template for centres* and *Notice to Centres - Informing candidates* of their centre assessed marks.

Appeals relating to centre decisions not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

This procedure confirms Kings Langley School compliance with the JCQ *General Regulations for Approved Centres* (section 5.13) that the centre will:

 have available for inspection purposes and draw to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers, a written internal appeals procedure to manage disputes when a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support an online application for a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

Following the issue of results, awarding bodies make post-results services available. Full details of these services, internal deadlines for requesting a service and fees charged are provided by the exams officer in the External Examinations Appeals Policy prior to the release of results.



Candidates are also made aware of the arrangements for post-results services prior to the issue of results. Candidates are also informed of the periods during which senior members of centre staff will be available/accessible immediately after the publication of results so that results may be discussed, and decisions made on the submission of reviews of marking. Candidates are made aware/informed by the External Examinations Appeals Policy prior to the release of results.

If the centre or a candidate (or his/her parent/carer) has a concern and believes a result may not be accurate, post-results services may be considered.

The JCQ post-results services currently available are detailed below.

Reviews of Results (RoRs):

Service 1 (Clerical re-check)

This is the only service that can be requested for objective tests (multiple choice tests)

Service 2 (Review of marking)

Priority Service 2 (Review of marking)

This service is only available for externally assessed components of GCE A-level specifications (an individual awarding body may also offer this priority service for other qualifications)

Service 3 (Review of moderation)

This service is not available to an individual candidate

Access to Scripts (ATS):

- Copies of scripts to support reviews of marking
- Copies of scripts to support teaching and learning

Where a concern is expressed that a particular result may not be accurate, the centre will look at the marks awarded for each component part of the qualification alongside any mark schemes, relevant result reports, grade boundary information etc. when made available by the awarding body to determine if the centre supports any concerns.

For written components that contributed to the final result, the centre will:

- Where a place a university or college is at risk, consider supporting a request for a Priority Service 2 review of marking
- 2. In all other instances, consider accessing the script by:
 - a) (where the service is made available by the awarding body) requesting a priority copy of the candidate's script to support a review of marking by the awarding body deadline or
 - (where the option is made available by the awarding body) viewing the candidate's marked script online to consider if requesting a review of marking is appropriate
- 3. Collect informed written consent/permission from the candidate to access his/her script
- 4. On access to the script, consider if it is felt that the agreed mark scheme has been applied correctly in the original marking and if the centre considers there are any errors in the marking



- 5. Support a request for the appropriate RoR service (clerical re-check or review of marking) if any error is identified
- 6. Collect informed written consent from the candidate to request the RoR service before the request is submitted
- 7. Where relevant, advise an affected candidate to inform any third party (such as a university or college) that a review of marking has been submitted to an awarding body]

Written candidate consent (informed consent via candidate email is acceptable) is required in all cases before a request for a RoR service 1 or 2 (including priority service 2) is submitted to the awarding body. Consent is required to confirm the candidate understands that the final subject grade and/or mark awarded following a clerical re-check or a review of marking, and any subsequent appeal, may be lower than, higher than, or the same as the result which was originally awarded. Candidate consent must only be collected after the publication of results.

For any moderated components that contributed to the final result, the centre will:

- Confirm that a review of moderation cannot be undertaken on the work of an individual candidate or the work of candidates not in the original sample submitted for moderation
- Consult the moderator's report/feedback to identify any issues raised
- Determine if the centre's internally assessed marks have been accepted without change by the awarding body – if this is the case, a RoR service 3 (Review of moderation) will not be available
- Determine if there are any grounds to submit a request for a review of moderation for the work of all candidates in the original sample

Where a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking or a review of moderation, the centre will:

- For a review of marking (RoR priority service 2), advise the candidate he/she may request the review by providing informed written consent (and the required fee) for this service to the centre by the deadline set by the centre
- For a review of marking (RoR service 1 or 2), first advise the candidate to access a copy of his/her script to support a review of marking by providing written permission for the centre to access the script (and any required fee for this service) for the centre to submit this request
- After accessing the script to consider the marking, inform the candidate that if a request for a review of marking (RoR service 1 or 2) is required, this must be submitted by the deadline set by the centre by providing informed written consent (and the required fee for this service) for the centre to submit this request
- Inform the candidate that a review of moderation (RoR service 3) cannot be requested for the work of an individual candidate or the work of a candidate not in the original sample

If the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) believes there are grounds to appeal against the centre's decision not to support a review of results, an internal appeal can be submitted to the centre.



If the candidate believes there are grounds to appeal against the Centre's decision not to support an enquiry, an appeal can be submitted to the Centre using the Internal Appeals Form. This must be at least one week prior to the internal deadline for submitting an enquiry about results and at least 5 working days prior to the internal deadline for submitting a request for a review of results.

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal before the deadline for submitting a review of results.

Following the RoR outcome, an external appeals process is available if the head of centre remains dissatisfied with the outcome and believes there are grounds for appeal. The JCQ publications *Post-Results Services* and *JCQ Appeals Booklet* (a guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes) will be consulted to determine the acceptable grounds for a preliminary appeal.

Where the head of centre is satisfied after receiving the RoR outcome, but the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) believes there are grounds for a preliminary appeal to the awarding body, a further internal appeal may be made to the head of centre. Following this, the head of centre's decision as to whether to proceed with a preliminary appeal will be based upon the acceptable grounds as detailed in the *JCQ Appeals Booklet*. Candidates or parents/carers are not permitted to make direct representations to an awarding body.

To Submit an Internal Appeal:

- An internal appeals form should be completed and submitted to the centre within the time specified by the centre from the notification of the outcome of the review of the result
- Subject to the head of centre's decision, the preliminary appeal will be processed and submitted to the awarding body within the required 30 calendar days of the awarding body issuing the outcome of the review of results process
- Awarding body fees which may be charged for the preliminary appeal must be paid to the centre by the appellant before the preliminary appeal is submitted to the awarding body (fees are available from the exams officer)
- If the appeal is upheld by the awarding body, this fee will be refunded by the awarding body and repaid to the appellant by the centre

Appeals regarding centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration

This procedure confirms Kings Langley School compliance with the JCQ *General Regulations for Approved Centres* (section 5.3x) that the centre will:

 have in place and available for inspection a written internal appeals procedure which must cover at least appeals regarding... centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration

Kings Langley School will:



- comply with the principles and regulations governing access arrangements and special consideration as set out in the JCQ publications Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments and A guide to the special consideration process
- ensure that all staff who manage and implement access arrangements and special consideration are aware of the requirements and are appropriately supported and resourced

Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

In accordance with the regulations, Kings Langley School:

- recognises its duty to explore and provide access to suitable courses, through the access arrangements process submit applications for reasonable adjustments and make reasonable adjustments to the service the centre provides to disabled candidates.
- complies with its responsibilities in identifying, determining and implementing appropriate access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

Failure to comply with the regulations have the potential to constitute malpractice which may impact on a candidate's result(s).

Examples of failure to comply include:

- putting in place access arrangements/adjustments that are not approved
- failing to consider putting in place access arrangements (which may be a failure to comply with the duty to make reasonable adjustments)
- permitting access arrangements/adjustments within the centre which are not supported by appropriate evidence

charging a fee for providing reasonable adjustments to disabled candidates access arrangements and reasonable adjustments (Importance of these regulations)

Special consideration

Where Kings Langley School can provide signed evidence to support an application, it will apply for special consideration at the time of the assessment for a candidate who has temporarily experienced illness, injury or some other event outside of their control when the issue or event has had, or is reasonably likely to have had, a material effect on the candidate's ability to take an assessment or demonstrate his or her normal level of attainment in an assessment.

Centre decisions relating to access arrangements, reasonable adjustments and special consideration

This may include Kings Langley School decision not to make/apply for a specific reasonable adjustment or to apply for special consideration, in circumstances where a candidate does not meet the criteria for, or there is no evidence/insufficient evidence to support the implementation of an access arrangement/reasonable adjustment or the application of special consideration.

Where Kings Langley School makes a decision in relation to the access arrangement(s), reasonable adjustment(s) or special consideration that apply for a candidate or candidates:

If a candidate who is the subject of the relevant decision (or the candidate's parent/carer)
disagrees with the decision made and reasonably believes that the centre has not complied
with its responsibilities or followed due procedures, a written request setting out the
grounds for appeal should be submitted



• An **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted within 3 calendar/working days of the decision being made known to the appellant.

To determine the outcome of the appeal, the head of centre will consult the respective JCQ publication to confirm the centre has complied with the principles and regulations governing access arrangements and/or special consideration and followed due procedures.

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal within 5 calendar/working days of the appeal being received and logged by the centre.

If the appeal is upheld, Kings Langley School will proceed to implement the necessary arrangements/submit the necessary application.

Appeals regarding centre decisions relating to other administrative issues

Circumstances may arise that cause Kings Langley School to make decisions on administrative issues that may affect a candidate's examinations/assessments.

Where Kings Langley School may make a decision that affects a candidate or candidates:

- If a candidate who is the subject of the relevant decision (or the candidate's parent/carer)
 disagrees with the decision made and reasonably believes that the centre has not complied
 with the regulations or followed due process, a written request setting out the grounds for
 appeal should be submitted
- An internal appeals form should be completed and submitted within 3 calendar/working days of the decision being made known to the appellant.

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal within 5 calendar/working days of the appeal being received and logged by the centre.

This procedure is informed by the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes</u> (section 7)

Further guidance to inform and implement appeals procedures

JCQ publications

- General Regulations for Approved Centres https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/general-regulations
- Post-Results Services
 https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/post-results-services
- JCQ Appeals Booklet (A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes)
 https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/appeals
- Notice to Centres Informing candidates of their centre assessed marks https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments
- Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice/



- Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/access-arrangements-and-special-consideration/regulations-and-guidance/
- A guide to the special consideration process https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/access-arrangements-and-special-consideration/regulations-and-guidance/

Ofqual publications

- GCSE (9 to 1) qualification-level conditions and requirements https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gcse-9-to-1-qualification-level-conditions
- GCE qualification-level conditions and requirements https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gce-qualification-level-conditions-and-requirements



INTERNAL APPEALS FORM – Please tick the box t	o indicate	FOR CENTRE USE ONLY			
the nature of your appeal.		Date received			
 □ Appeal against the centre's decision not to support a Review of Moderation. □ Appeal against the centre's decision not to support an appeal against a Review of Results. 					
Candidate Name	Candidate Number				

Candidate Name	Candidate Number
Level	Subject
NEA Component	
Please state the grounds for your appeal below.	
Candidate signature	
Date	
	200

This form must be completed, signed, dated and returned to the Exams Officer within the deadlines indicated in the relevant appeals procedure.



INTERNAL APPEALS FORM – Please tick the box to indicate the nature of your appeal.			
Please state the grounds for your appeal below. (Continued from overleaf)			



Internal Appeals Log

On receipt all internal appeals are logged. The outcome date is also recorded.

The outcome of any review of the centre's marking will be made known to the Head of Centre. A written record of the review will be kept and logged as an appeal, in order that information can be easily made available to for JCQ inspection purposes and to an awarding body upon request.

Date received	Complaint or Appeal	Outcome	Outcome date



Review of Marking Template

sections that have been reviewed.

Name of student:	Click or tap here to enter text.		
Qualification and component:	Click or tap here to enter text.		
Section(s) of NEA being reviewed:	Click or tap here to enter text.		
I confirm that I have reviewed the follo	owing:		
☐ the candidate's work;			
\square the mark sheet completed by the te	acher showing the breakdown of marks;		
	standardisation to ascertain whether consistent standards to the candidate's work (where more than one teacher has		
involved in marking the work), worl	rdisation carried out (because there was only one teacher k of other candidates in the cohort must be considered to de on the consistency of standards; and		
\square any comments/annotation made by	the teacher during the marking process.		
	purpose of my review is to check that the candidate's mark is rd for the cohort and to identify correct any marking error.		
	ork for the following types of marking error:		
an administrative error;			
	eria to the evidence generated by the candidate where that rcise of academic judgement; or		
an unreasonable exercise of acade	emic judgement.		
Please complete <u>either</u> Section A <u>or</u> Se	ction B below.		
Section A			
My review has identified a marking er	ror.		
Please confirm the type of marking error that has been identified and provide details of where the marking error is not in line with the standard of other candidates at the academy, referring to the			

assessment objectives or NEA marking criteria, and any differences in the mark breakdown for the



Section B
My review has not identified a marking error.
Please provide details of the reasons for upholding the original mark below.
I confirm that I have had no previous involvement in the assessment of this candidate for this component of the NEA and I have no personal interest in the outcome of the review.
Name and signature of reviewer:
Date: