

Complaints Procedure Policy

Date Agreed – November 2024

Review Date – September 2025

CONTENTS

1.	Rationale	Page 3
2.	Character Development	Page 3
3.	Who can make a complaint	Page 4
4.	The difference between a concern and a complaint	Page 4
5.	How to raise a concern or make a complaint	Page 4
6.	Anonymous complaints	Page 6
7.	Timescales	Page 6
8.	Complaints received outside of term time	Page 6
9.	Scope of this policy	Page 6
10.	Resolving complaints	Page 7
11.	Withdrawal of a complaint	Page 8
12.	Complaint Stages	Page 8
13.	Further recourse Special Education Needs provision complaints	Page 13
14.	Complaints of any other nature	Page 13
15.	Appendix 1 - Roles and Responsibilities	Page 14
16.	Appendix 2 - Grounds for complaint relating to exams	Page 18
17.	Appendix 3 - Complaint form	Page 20

Kings Langley School Complaints Policy and Procedure

Rationale

This policy exists to provide a framework for supporting our stated aim of "ensuring the happiness of every individual in our community", to promote a climate which enables all students to flourish, regardless of ability or special needs, and supports our desired outcomes of developing "strong character.

Character Development Commitment to Equality and Diversity

This school is founded on a set of fundamental values designed to enable all students and adults connected with our community to flourish and succeed, regardless of background or circumstances. We are determined to be open to people, places, methods and ideas—and as such, equality and diversity are at the heart of everything we do. Our continued dedication to social justice and equality of opportunity is embodied in everything we do.

We are creating an inclusive school community where:

- People are treated with dignity and respect.
- Inequalities are challenged.
- ➤ We anticipate, and respond positively to, different needs and circumstances so that everyone can achieve their potential.
- ➤ We value diversity and we recognise that different people bring different perspectives, ideas, knowledge and culture, and that this difference brings great strength.
- ➤ We believe that discrimination or exclusion based on individual characteristics and circumstances, such as age; disability; caring or dependency responsibilities; gender or gender identity; marriage and civil partnership status; political opinion; pregnancy and maternity; race, colour, caste, nationality, ethnic or national origin; religion or belief; sexual orientation; socio-economic background; trade union membership status or other distinctions, represents a waste of talent and a denial of opportunity for self-fulfilment.
- We recognise that patterns of under achievement at any level and differences in outcomes can be challenged through positive intervention activities designed to bridge gaps.
- We respect the rights of individuals, including the right to hold different views and beliefs. We will not allow these differences to be manifested in a way that is hostile or degrading to others.
- We expect commitment and involvement from all our staff, students, partners and providers of goods and services in working towards the achievement of our vision.

Principles - Who can make a complaint?

This complaints process is not limited only to parents or carers of children that are registered on roll at Kings Langley School. Other people, including members of the public, may make a complaint to our School, provided that the complaint relates to the provision of facilities or services that we provide. Any issues for which a separate statutory process applies (such as appeals regarding exclusions or admissions) do not fall within the scope of this process. Kings Langley School will confirm to you upon receipt whether or not your complaint falls under this policy and procedure and what will happen next if it does. For issues that fall outside of this policy and procedure, Kings Langley School will confirm the correct process that applies instead.

The difference between a concern and a complaint.

We define a concern as 'an expression of worry or doubt over an issue considered to be important for which reassurances are sought'.

We define a complaint as 'an expression of dissatisfaction however made, about actions taken or a lack of action that requires an investigation and formal response in writing'.

It is in everybody's interest that concerns and complaints are resolved as swiftly as possible. Many issues can be resolved informally, without needing to escalate to the formal stages of the complaints process. Kings Langley School takes concerns seriously and we will make every effort to resolve matters as quickly as possible.

If you have difficulty discussing a concern with a particular member of staff, we will respect your views. In such cases, you will be referred to another staff member. Similarly, if the member of staff directly involved feels unable to deal with your concerns, you will be referred to another staff member. The member of staff may be more senior but does not have to be. The ability to consider the concern objectively and impartially is the most important factor.

Kings Langley School understands however, that there are some occasions when people only wish to raise their concerns formally. In such instances, Kings Langley School will always first attempt to resolve the issue(s) internally, through the stages outlined within this complaints policy and procedure.

How to raise a concern or make a complaint.

A concern or complaint can be made in person or in writing. They may also be made by a third party acting on behalf on a complainant, provided that the third party is able to demonstrate to Kings Langley School that they have the appropriate consent to do so.

Concerns should be raised with either the Class Teacher, Key Stage Lead or Head of Year, Subject Leader. If the issue remains unresolved, then a senior leader should support you within this process before any complaint is made. At this point if no resolution has been found the next step is to make a formal complaint.

Complainants should not approach individual Governors to raise concerns or complaints. They have no power to act on an individual basis and it may also prevent them from considering complaints at Stage 2 of the formal complaints process. In the event that a Governor is approached by a complainant, they should signpost the complainant back to the most appropriate person. This could

be the Class Teacher, Key Stage Lead, Head of Year, Headteacher or Chair of Governors, depending on which stage the complaint has reached.

Complaints against Kings Langley School staff (except the Headteacher) should be made in the first instance, to the Headteacher via the School Office. They should be marked Private and Confidential.

Complaints regarding the Headteacher should be addressed to the Chair of Governors and submitted via the School Office. They should be marked as Private and Confidential. The School Office will ensure that the Chair of Governors receives this promptly.

Complaints about the Chair of Governors, any individual Governor or the whole Governing Body should be addressed to the Clerk to the Governing Body and submitted to the School Office. They should be marked Private and Confidential. The School Office will ensure that the Clerk receives this promptly.

For ease of use, a template complaint form has been included within this complaints policy and procedure. If you require help to complete the form, you should contact the School Office. You can also ask third party organisations like the Citizens Advice Bureau or an Independent Advocate to help you. Kings Langley School will ensure that all formal complaints are documented in writing to ensure that the issues being complained about and the complainant's desired outcomes are clear. Complainants will be asked to submit their complaint in writing, either by sending an email or a letter via the School Office or by completing the School's formal complaint form.

In accordance with equality law, as outlined above, the School will consider making reasonable adjustments if required, to enable complainants to access and complete this complaints process. This includes providing information in alternative formats, assisting complainants in raising a formal complaint or holding meetings in accessible locations.

If a complainant is unable to submit their complaint in writing, the School will ensure that the issues being complained about and the outcomes being requested are documented in writing. The School may do this in either of the following ways:

- Invite the complainant to a meeting with the Headteacher or Chair of Governors (depending on what stage the complaint has reached) and a Notetaker. The Notetaker will document the issues being complained about and the complainant's desired outcomes, as discussed and agreed during the course of the meeting. At the end of the meeting, the complainant will be given a copy of the notes of the meeting containing this information and the School will retain the original copy for the purpose of investigating the complaint(s).
- ➤ Signpost the complainant to independent support, including Advocacy. Advocates provide qualified, independent support for people that have difficulty understanding information and advice or who would like support in communicating their views. Advocates can help complainants to formulate their complaint and then submit it on their behalf and support them through the complaints process. POhWER was founded in Hertfordshire in 1996. They deliver services in Hertfordshire as part of the HertsHelp service, in partnership with a wide range of voluntary sector organisations, including Advocacy. It is a free and impartial service. Their contact details are as follows:

POhWER

Telephone: 0300 456 2370

Text: send the word 'pohwer' with your name and number to 81025

Email: pohwer@pohwer.net

Post: PO Box 14043, Birmingham, B6 9BL

Anonymous complaints.

Kings Langley School will not normally investigate anonymous complaints. In such instances, the Headteacher and/or the Chair of Governors will determine whether the complaint warrants an investigation and will ensure that this is completed, if so. The outcome of the investigation cannot be shared with the complainant, as the School will not know who they are and therefore, the School cannot ascertain whether or not the person is entitled to have confidential information regarding the complaint investigation shared with them.

Timescales.

All complaints must be raised within three months of an incident or event. Kings Langley School will consider exercising the discretion to consider matters raised outside of this timeframe only if the School deems that exceptional circumstances apply. To enable the School to make this decision, the complainant will be asked to explain their reasons as to why they have taken longer than three months to raise their complaint. If the complainant does not provide any explanation or the School deems that the explanation given is not compelling or exceptional enough to warrant the issue(s) being investigated as a late complaint, the School will confirm this in writing and take no further action.

Complaints received outside of term time.

Kings Langley School will consider any complaints made outside of term time to have been received on the first School day after the holiday period. The School will send the complainant an acknowledgement of their complaint, confirming the date of receipt, what will happen next and the timescale that applies. This will differ depending on what stage of the complaints process has been reached.

Scope of this Complaints Policy and Procedure.

This policy and procedure only applies to complaints about the provision of facilities or services by Kings Langley School.

Some examples of issues that may be complained about are as follows:

- Complaints from individuals, including members of the public, about the provision of facilities or services by the School.
- Issues from parents or carers of children who attend the School.
- Complaints regarding pupil welfare and wellbeing.
- Complaints regarding bullying.
- Complaints regarding staff behaviour.
- ➤ A Governor complaining about a member of Staff.
- > A member of Staff complaining about a Governor.
- ➤ A Governor complaining about another Governor.
- Complaints about the School's handling of a Subject Access Request (SAR) or a Freedom of Information (FOI) Request.

The following matters cannot be dealt with as a formal complaint:

- Complaints about pupil behaviour outside of School hours, e.g. weekends and holiday periods such issues are not the School's responsibility.
- ➤ Complaints regarding third parties using or hiring School premises third party providers should have their own complaints process and you should contact them directly.
- Complaints about the School carrying out a statutory duty, e.g. making a Child Protection referral

 the School's complaints process cannot be invoked to stop it from doing something it has a duty
 to do.
- ➤ Matters likely to require a Child Protection Investigation Complaints about Child Protection matters are handled under the School's Child Protection and Safeguarding Policy and in accordance with relevant statutory guidance.
- A member of staff complaining about another member of staff refer to the School's Internal Grievance Procedures.
- A member of staff complaining about an action or a decision of the School's Full Governing Body the Governing Body will have already given the matter full con-sideration.
- Whistleblowing refer to the School's Internal Whistleblowing Procedure for all employees, including temporary staff and contractors. The Secretary of State for Education is the prescribed person for matters relating to education for Whistle Blowing in education who do not wish to raise matters directly with their employer. Referrals can be made at www.education.gov.uk/contactus
- Complaints regarding internal management decisions, e.g. Class and Teacher Al-locations and School Session Time changes.
- National Curriculum content contact the Department for Education at www.education.gov.uk/contactus
- Complaints about a decision or process that has been subject to a full consultation and subsequently approved by the Full Governing Body – the Governing Body has already given the matter full consideration and respondents have had the opportunity through the consultation process to put forward their views.
- Unsuccessful School admission applications may ultimately be appealed to an Independent Appeal Panel.
- Complaints about Fixed-Term or Permanent Exclusions Permanent Exclusions may ultimately be appealed to an Independent Review Panel (IRP). For Fixed Term Exclusions, representations can be made to the Chair of Governors outside the provisions of the complaints process.

If other bodies are looking into aspects of a complaint, for example the Police, the Local Authority (LA) Safeguarding Teams or a Tribunal, this may impact on the School's ability to adhere to the timescales set out within this policy and procedure or may result in the process being suspended until the other relevant body has concluded its enquiries.

If a complainant commences legal action against Kings Langley School in relation to their complaint, we will consider whether to suspend the complaints process until the legal proceedings have concluded. The School will only consider investigating the complaint after the conclusion of legal proceedings if those proceedings did not address the issues at the heart of the complaint.

Resolving complaints.

At each stage of this process, Kings Langley School will aim to resolve the complaint. If appropriate, we will acknowledge that the complaint is upheld, in whole or in part. In addition, we may offer one or more of the following if it is deemed appropriate and necessary:

An explanation.

- An admission that the situation could have been handled differently or better.
- An assurance that we will try to ensure the event(s) complained of will not recur.
- An explanation of the steps that have been or will be taken to help ensure that it will not happen again and an indication of the timescales within which any changes will be made.
- An undertaking to review relevant School policies in light of the complaint.
- An apology.

Withdrawal of a Complaint.

If a complainant wishes to withdraw their complaint, they must inform the School. Kings Langley School will ask them to confirm this in writing. If they are unable to do this however, the School will write to them to confirm that their complaint has been withdrawn, in accordance with their wishes.

Stage 1 – Informal complaints.

It is to be hoped that most concerns can be expressed and resolved on an informal basis.

Concerns should be raised with either the Class Teacher, Year Head, Subject Leader or Headteacher.

Complainants should not approach individual governors to raise concerns or complaints. They have no power to act on an individual basis and it may also prevent them from considering complaints at Stage 3 of the procedure.

If the issue remains unresolved, the next step is to make a formal complaint.

Stage 2 - Making a formal complaint.

Formal complaints must be made to the Headteacher in the first instance (unless the complaint is about the Headteacher) via the School office. If you are unable to submit your complaint in writing by email or letter or by using the formal complaint form, the School will follow the steps set out on pages 3 of this policy and procedure, by offering to meet you and/or signposting you to a free and impartial Advocacy and Support Service.

If a concern or complaint about a School is made direct to Kings Langley School, the complainant will be asked to complete the template complaint form (Appendix 2) for submission directly to the School to enable the process within this policy to be followed.

The Headteacher will record the date the complaint was received and will acknowledge receipt of the complaint in writing (either by letter or email) within 3 School days.

Within this response, the Headteacher will seek to clarify the nature of the complaint, ask what remains unresolved and what outcome(s) the complainant would like to see. The Headteacher will consider whether a face-to-face meeting is the most appropriate way of doing this. The Headteacher can delegate the investigation of the complaint to another member of the School's Senior Leadership Team, but not the decision(s) to be taken.

During the investigation, the Headteacher (or Investigator) will:

- If necessary, interview those involved in the matter and/or those complained of, allowing them to be accompanied if they wish. The decision in terms of who should be interviewed and how is up to the Headteacher (or Investigator).
- > Keep a written record of any meetings or interviews in relation to their investigation.

At the conclusion of their investigation, the Headteacher will provide a formal written response within 10 school days from the date of receipt of the complaint.

If the Headteacher is unable to meet this deadline for whatever reason, they will provide the complainant with an update and a revised response date.

The response will detail the actions taken to investigate the complaint and will provide a full explanation of the decision(s) made and the reasoning behind them.

Where appropriate and possible, the response will include details of actions the School will take to hopefully resolve the complaint.

The Headteacher will advise the complainant how they may escalate their complaint should they remain dissatisfied with the outcome of Stage 2.

If the complaint is about the Headteacher, or a member of the Local Governing Board (including the Chair or Vice-Chair of Governors), a suitably skilled Governor will be appointed to complete all of the required actions at Stage 2.

Complaints about the Headteacher must be made to the Chair of Governors.

Complaints about a member of the Local Governing Board must be made to the Clerk, via the School Office.

If the complaint is:

- jointly about the Chair and Vice Chair of Governors,
- the majority of the Local Governing Board or,
- the entire Local Governing Board.

Stage 2 will be considered by an Independent Investigator appointed by the Clerk to the Governing Body. This person could be a Governor from another School. At the conclusion of their investigation, the Independent Investigator will provide a formal written response to the complainant.

If the complainant is dissatisfied with the Stage 2 response to their complaint, they have 10 School days in which to request escalation to Stage 3 of the complaints process.

Escalation requests made outside of this timeframe do not have to be accepted.

Stage 3 - Governors Complaint Panel Hearing.

If the complainant is dissatisfied with the outcome at Stage 2 and wishes to take the matter further, they can escalate the complaint to Stage 3 – where the complaint is heard by a Panel of Governors. The Panel is comprised of 3 impartial Governors, usually two from the School's Governing Body and one from an impartial and independent background. In exceptional circumstances however, a decision may be taken to use Governors from other Schools to make up the Panel. Stage 3 is the final stage of the School's complaints process.

A request to escalate to Stage 3 must be made by the complainant to the Chair of the Governing Body (or the Clerk to the Governing Body if the complaint is about the Chair) via the School office, within 10 School days of the Stage 2 response being issued.

The Chair of Governors (or the Clerk) will record the date the Stage 3 escalation request is received and acknowledge receipt of the request in writing (either by letter or email) within 3 School days.

Requests received outside of this timeframe will only be considered if the School deems that exceptional circumstances apply. Stage 3 will only consider complaints that have already been lodged and investigated at Stage 1 and 2. It is not an opportunity to raise new complaints. Any complainant wishing to do so must first complete Stage 2 of the School's complaints process.

The Chair of Governors (or the Clerk) will write to the complainant to inform them of the date of the Stage 3 Complaints Panel Hearing. They will aim to convene a Panel within 20 School days of receipt of the Stage 3 request. If this is not possible, they will provide an anticipated date and keep the complainant informed.

If the complainant rejects the offer of three proposed dates and without good reason, the Chair of Governors (or the Clerk) will decide when the Panel Hearing will be. It is permitted to proceed in the complainant's absence on the basis that the Panel will consider all written submissions from both parties.

The Panel is comprised of 3 impartial Governors, usually two from the School's Governing Body and one from an impartial and independent background from another local School or through the Local Authority's Governor Services Unit, in order to make up the committee. Prior to the meeting, they will decide amongst themselves who will serve as the Chair of the Panel. If there are fewer than two Governors from Kings Langley School available (for example due to sickness or other prior commitments), the Chair of Governors (or the Clerk) will source any additional, impartial Governors through another local School or through the Local Authority's Governor Services Unit, in order to make up the committee. On some occasions, a Panel comprised entirely of external Governors may be convened to hear the complaint at Stage 3. This will depend on the circumstances of the complaint and the decision will be made by the Chair of Governors (or the Clerk).

The Complaints Panel will decide whether to deal with the complaint by inviting parties to a Hearing or by way of written representations, but in making their decision they will be sensitive to the complainant's needs. The Hearing may take place with both parties present or attending separately at different times. Once again, this will depend on the circumstances of the complaint.

Both parties (i.e., the complainant and the respondent on behalf of the School) may bring someone along with them to provide support. This could be a friend, relative or independent supporter. Generally, whilst not prohibited, we do not encourage either party to bring Legal Representatives to the Panel Hearing as it is not a Court of Law and cross questioning is not permitted. All questioning throughout the Hearing is conducted by the Panel. Witnesses, including members of staff, may be invited to give evidence during the course of the Panel Hearing. They may also bring someone along with them to provide support, such as a friend, relative or independent supporter.

If a complaint is made regarding the behaviour or conduct of a member of staff and it is upheld in full or in part, this may result in some form of disciplinary action taking place. Where this is the case, complainants will be informed that the matter will be progressed through Staff Disciplinary Procedures, if appropriate, but the outcome(s) cannot be shared with them as this information is confidential between the employer and employee.

Representatives from the media are not permitted to attend a Stage 3 Complaints Panel Hearing.

At least 14 School days before the meeting, the Chair of Governors (or the Clerk) will:

- Confirm and notify the complainant (and the respondent on behalf of the School) of the date, time and venue of the Panel Hearing. Every effort should be made to try and ensure that that Hearing date and time is convenient for both parties and that the venue and proceedings are accessible. Please note however, that if the complainant rejects the offer of three proposed dates and without good reason, the Chair of Governors (or the Clerk) will decide when the Panel Hearing will be and it is permitted to proceed in the complainant's absence on the basis that the Panel will consider all written submissions from both parties. Similarly, if either party elects to leave the Hearing before it has finished, it is permitted to continue in their absence.
- Request copies of any further written material to be submitted to the Panel at least 7 School days before the meeting. Late evidence will not be accepted.

Any written material submitted will be circulated to all parties at least 5 School days before the date of the Hearing. The Complaints Panel will not normally accept as evidence audio or video recordings of conversations that were obtained covertly and/or without the informed consent of all parties being recorded.

The Complaints Panel will not review any new complaints at this stage or consider evidence unrelated to the initial complaint that may have been included. Any new complaints must be dealt with at Stage 2 of the complaints process first.

The Stage 3 Complaints Panel Hearing will be held in private. Electronic recording of the Hearing is not normally permitted unless a complainant's own disability or special needs require a reasonable adjustment to be made. Complainants cannot insist on a Hearing being recorded without good reason. Prior knowledge and consent of all parties attending must be sought and obtained before recording of the Hearing can take place. Any request to audio or video record the meeting and whether this was consented to or not by all parties present should be documented in the Clerk's detailed notes of the Hearing. The final decision as to what reasonable adjustments will be made, if any, rests with the school.

The Stage 3 Complaints Panel will consider the complaint and all of the evidence presented by both parties. The Panel can:

- Uphold the complaint in full or in part.
- Reject the complaint in full or in part.
- Make a finding of No Judgement if there is insufficient evidence to reach a definitive conclusion.

If the complaint is upheld in full or in part, the Stage 3 Complaints Panel will:

- > Decide on the appropriate action to be taken to try and resolve the complaint.
- Where appropriate, recommend changes to the School's systems or procedures to prevent the same or similar issues happening in the future.
- Findings of the panel will be reported to the Full Governing Board and accepted where necessary. Any recommendations will be presented to the Full Governing Board for approval or rejection.

The Chair of the Panel will then provide the complainant with a response in writing on behalf of the School within 5 school days.

The outcome letter to the complainant (and copied to the respondent on behalf of the School) will include details of how to contact the Department for Education if they are dissatisfied with the way their complaint has been handled by Kings Langley School.

If the complaint is:

- > jointly about the Chair and the Vice Chair of the Governing Body or
- > the majority of the Governing Body or
- the entire Governing Body,

Then Stage 3 will be heard by a Panel of Independent Governors.

The response will detail any actions taken to investigate the complaint and provide a full explanation of the decision(s) made and the reason(s) for them. Where appropriate, it will include details of actions Kings Langley School will take to try and resolve the complaint and to prevent the same issues from recurring.

The response will also advise the complainant how to escalate their complaint should they remain dissatisfied.

Stage 3 Governor Review - Complaints where a child no longer attends the School and is no longer on roll

The purpose of this complaints process is to ensure that if an error has been made, or an injustice has occurred, action can be taken to try and provide a remedy. Where the complainant has removed their child from the School, it is impossible for the School to provide a remedy that will directly benefit them or their child.

However, as the Governing Body has a duty of care to pupils who remain on roll, where a child has left the School, it will ensure that the circumstances of any complaint made are reviewed so that the Governing Body is satisfied that the School had acted appropriately and that the relevant policies and procedures had been followed correctly.

Under this policy and procedure, where a child no longer attends the School and their name has been removed from the School roll, the Chair of Governors has the discretion to commission a Stage 3 Governor Review instead of a Stage 3 Complaints Panel. The Chair of Governors will choose one of the two options, to ensure that the complaint is investigated appropriately, and that the complaints process can be concluded, however, the final decision as to which option they choose is entirely at their discretion.

If a decision is taken to commission a Stage 3 Governor Review instead of a Stage 3 Complaints Panel Hearing, the Chair of Governors will appoint a Governor who has had no prior involvement in the complaint to undertake this. If a Governor cannot be appointed from the School's own Governing Body (for example due to sickness or other prior commitments), the Chair of Governors will appoint an independent Governor from another local School or through the Local Authority's Governor Services Unit.

Once a Governor has been appointed, they will review the original complaint, the Stage 1 and 2 Investigation and response, the complainant's reply to the Stage 1 and 2 response (including their escalation request) and any further evidence the complainant has submitted (this must relate to the complaint and cannot be in relation to new matters).

When the review is complete, either the Chair of Governors or the Governor who conducted the review will write to the complainant to inform them whether the complaint has been upheld or

rejected, in full or in part, and of any changes to practice and procedures which have been agreed by the Governing Body.

Findings of the panel will be reported to the Full Governing Board and accepted where necessary. Any recommendations will be presented to the Full Governing Board for approval or rejection.

Further recourse

Special Educational Needs provision complaints

If your complaint is about the way the School has been delivering the provision set out in Section F of your child's Education, Health and Care Plan (EHCP), you may complain further to the Local Authority that maintains your child's EHCP.

If the EHCP is maintained by Hertfordshire County Council, you may complain further by setting out the detail of your complaint and sending it to:

Customer Service Team – Complaints Postal Point: CHO118 Resources Department County Hall Hertford SG13 8DF

Email: cs.complaints@hertfordshire.gov.uk

Once in receipt of your complaint, the Complaints Manager for Children's Services will ensure that the Local Authority completes a Section F Provision Checklist. This process entails a Provision Checklist being drawn up directly from Section F of the EHCP. A Senior SEND Officer will then visit the School in order to work through the checklist point by point and complete it. The Senior SEND Officer will seek the comments of the Headteacher, Senior Leadership Team, SENCo and Governors as appropriate, as well as any other information or advice that they deem necessary.

Once the Provision Checklist has been completed, the Local Authority will notify the complainant of the outcome in writing, enclosing a copy of the checklist. A copy of the outcome letter and Checklist will also be sent to the School for its information and record keeping. This process will take up to 25 working days (of the Local Authority) to conclude.

Complainants who remain dissatisfied following further investigation of their Special Educational Needs provision complaint by the Local Authority may complain to the Secretary of State who may decide to conduct an additional investigation. The contact details for the Secretary of State are set out below.

Complaints of any other nature

If the complainant believes that the School did not handle their complaint correctly in accordance with this complaints policy and procedure or that it acted unlawfully or unreasonably in exercising its duties under education law, they can approach the Department for Education (DFE) after they have exhausted the School's complaints process at Stage 2.

The DFE will not normally re-investigate the substance of a complaint or overturn any decisions made by Kings Langley School. They will consider whether the School has adhered to education legislation

and any statutory policies relevant to the complaint. The DfE will not substitute its decision for that of the Stage 3 Complaints Panel simply because the complainant disagrees with it.

The complainant can refer their complaint to the Secretary of State at the Department for Education online at www.education.gov.uk/contactus, by telephone on 0370 000 2288 or by writing to:

Department for Education Piccadilly Gate Store Street Manchester M1 2WD

Appendix 1 - Roles and responsibilities

Complainant

The complainant should:

- > Explain their complaint in full as swiftly as possible.
- ➤ Co-operate fully with the School to try and resolve the complaint.
- Respond promptly to requests for information or meetings.
- Agree the details of their complaint and their desired outcomes in a timely way if clarification is requested.
- Ask for assistance if or when needed.
- > Treat everybody involved in their complaint and the complaints process with dignity and respect.
- Refrain from publicising the details of their complaint on social media and respect confidentiality.
- Refrain from making allegations and threats.

If the complainant fails or refuses to follow the above, the School may have to suspend its consideration of their complaint, either temporarily or permanently, depending on the nature of the complainant's behaviour and how this affects the School's handling of the complaint. In such circumstances, the School also reserves the right to invoke its Policy and Procedure for Managing Persistent and Vexatious Behaviour and Complaints.

Investigator (if one is required or appointed)

This could be the Headteacher or another member of the School's Senior Leadership Team. The Investigator's role is to establish the facts relevant to the complaint by:

- > Providing a comprehensive, open, transparent and fair consideration of the complaint through:
 - Sensitive and thorough interviewing of the complainant to establish what has happened and who has been involved.
 - Interviewing any staff, children, young people or any other people relevant to the complaint.
 - Consideration of records and other relevant information.
 - Analysing information.
 - Referring to any relevant School policies and procedures that apply.
- Liaising with the complainant and the Complaints Co-ordinator as appropriate to try and identify how the complaint may best be resolved.

The investigator should:

- Conduct interviews with an open mind and be prepared to persist in their questioning if they deem this necessary.
- Keep notes of interviews or arrange for an independent note taker to document the meeting.
- ➤ Ensure that any papers produced during the investigation are kept securely pending further consideration of the complaint.
- > Be mindful of the timescales to respond.
- ➤ If the Investigator is somebody other than the Headteacher, then they should prepare a comprehensive report for the Headteacher or the Stage 2 Complaints Panel that sets out the facts, tries to identify solutions and makes recommendations to try and resolve the issues.
- ➤ The Headteacher or Stage 3 Complaints Panel will then determine whether to uphold or reject the complaint in full or in part and communicate their finding(s) to the complainant, providing the

appropriate escalation details.

Complaints Co-ordinator

This could be the Headteacher, a Designated Complaints Governor or a member of School staff providing administrative support.

The Complaints Co-ordinator should:

- > Ensure that the complainant is updated at each stage of the School's complaints process.
- Liaise with staff members, the Headteacher, the Chair of Governors, the Clerk and any another relevant sources of information or support, to ensure a smooth and effective complaints process.
- > Remain mindful of issues regarding:
 - Sharing third party information.
 - Additional support. This may be needed, for example, by complainants when making a complaint, including an Interpreter or where the complainant is a child or young person.
- > Keep accurate records and store them securely.

Clerk to the Governing Body

The Clerk is the point of contact for the complainant, the respondent on behalf of the School and the Stage 3 Complaints Panel. They should:

- ➤ Ensure that everybody involved in the complaints process is aware of their legal rights and responsibilities, including any under legislation relating to School complaints, education law, the Equality Act 2010, the Freedom of Information Act 2000, the Data Protection Act (DPA) 2018 and the General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR).
- > Set the date, time and venue of the meeting, ensuring that the dates are convenient to all parties if they are invited to attend (unless three proposed dates have been declined without good reason in which case the Clerk or the Chair of Governors will decide when the Hearing will be). They should also ensure that the venue and proceedings are accessible for all attendees.
- ➤ Collate any written material relevant to the complaint (for example; the Stage 1 and or 2 paperwork, the respondent on behalf of the School's submission, the complainant's submission and signed, dated witness statements) and send it to all parties in advance of the meeting within an agreed timescale (including the Panel).
- > Take detailed notes of the Stage 3 Complaints Panel Hearing.
- Provide a copy of their detailed notes to either or both parties if requested to do so.
- Notify both parties of the Panel's findings.

Chair of the Stage 3 Complaints Panel

The Chair of the Stage 3 Complaints Panel will be appointed in advance of the Hearing and should ensure that:

- ➤ Both parties are asked (via the Clerk) to provide any additional information relating to the complaint by a specified date in advance of the Hearing.
- The Hearing is conducted fairly and impartially, is not adversarial, and that, if all parties are invited to attend, everyone is treated with respect and courtesy.
- Complainants who may not be used to attending such Hearings are put at ease insofar as possible. This is particularly important if the complainant is a child or young person.
- > The remit of the Complaints Panel is explained to both parties.

- Written material is seen by everyone in attendance, provided it does not breach confidentiality or any individual's rights to privacy under the DPA 2018 or GDPR.
- ➤ If a new issue arises, it would be useful to give everyone the opportunity to consider it and comment upon it. This may require an adjournment of the Hearing. New issues would need to be passed back for consideration at Stage 2 first.
- ➤ Both the complainant and the respondent on behalf of the School are given the opportunity to put forward their case and seek clarity, either through written submissions ahead of the Hearing or verbally in the Hearing itself.
- No cross questioning is permitted. All questioning is conducted by the Panel.
- > The issues are addressed.
- > Key findings of fact are made.
- The Panel is open-minded and acts independently.
- No member of the Panel has an external interest in the outcome of the proceedings or has had any involvement at an earlier stage of the complaints process.
- The Clerk takes detailed notes of the Hearing.
- They liaise with the Clerk whenever the need arises (and the Complaints Co-ordinator, if the School has one).

Stage 3 Complaints Panel Member

Stage 3 Complaints Panel Members should remain mindful that:

- > The Hearing must be independent and impartial and should be seen to be so.
- No Governor may sit on the Panel if they have had prior involvement in the complaint or in the circumstances surrounding it.
- > The aim of the Hearing should be to try and resolve the complaint and achieve reconciliation between the School and the complainant wherever possible.
- The complainant may not be satisfied with the outcome if the Panel does not find in their favour. It may only be possible to establish the facts and make recommendations.
- Many complainants will feel nervous in a formal setting such as this.
- > Parents and carers often feel emotional when discussing issues that affect their children.
- Extra care needs to be taken if the complainant is a child or young person and they are present during all or part of the Hearing.
- > Careful consideration of the atmosphere and proceedings should be given to ensure that a child or young person does not feel intimidated.
- The Panel should respect the views of a child or young person and give them equal consideration to those of adults.
- If a child or young person is the complainant, the Panel should ask them in advance if any support is needed to help them present their complaint.
- Where a child or young person's parent or carer is the complainant, the Panel should give them the opportunity to say which parts of the meeting, if any, the child or young person would like to be present for.
- The complainant should be advised that it may not be agreed for a child or young person to attend a Hearing if the Panel considers that it is not in the child or young person's best interests.
- > The welfare and best interests of the child or young person should remain at the forefront at all times.

Appendix 2: Grounds for complaint relating to examinations

A candidate or their parent at Kings Langley School may make a complaint on the grounds below.

Teaching and Learning

- Pre-release/advance material/set task issued by the awarding body not provided on time to an examination candidate.
- The taking of an assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not conducted according to the JCQ/awarding body instructions.
- Candidate not informed of their internally-assessed mark prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body.
- Candidate not informed of their internally-assessed mark in sufficient time to request/appeal a review of marking prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body.
- Candidate not given sufficient time to review materials to make a decision whether to request a review of the internally-assessed mark.
- Candidate unhappy with internal assessment decision (please refer to the centre's **internal** appeals procedure).
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure.

Access Arrangements and Special Consideration

- Candidate not assessed by the centre's appointed assessor.
- Candidate not involved in decisions made regarding their access arrangements.
- Candidate did not consent to record their personal data online (by the non-acquisition of a completed **candidate personal data consent form**).
- Candidate not informed/adequately informed of the arrangement(s) in place and the subjects or components of subjects where the arrangement(s) would not apply.
- Examination information not appropriately adapted for a disabled candidate to access it.
- Adapted equipment/assistive technology put in place failed during examination/assessment.
- Approved access arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an examination/assessment.
- Appropriate arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an examination/assessment as a consequence of a temporary injury or impairment.
- Candidate unhappy with centre decision relating to access arrangements or special consideration (please refer to the centre's **internal appeals procedure**).
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure.

Entries

- Candidate not entered/entered late (incurring a late entry fee) for a required examination/assessment.
- Candidate entered for a wrong examination/assessment.
- Candidate entered for a wrong tier of entry.

Conducting Examinations

- Failure to adequately brief candidate on examination timetable/regulations prior to examination/assessment taking place.
- Room in which assessment held did not provide candidate with appropriate conditions for taking the examination.
- Inadequate invigilation in examination room.
- Failure to conduct the examination according to the regulations.
- Online system failed during (on-screen) examination/assessment.
- Disruption during the examination/assessment.
- Alleged, suspected or actual malpractice incident not investigated/reported.
- Failure to inform/update candidate on the accepted/rejected outcome of a special consideration application if provided by awarding body.

Results and Post-Results

- Before examinations, candidate not made aware of the arrangements for post-results services and the availability of senior members of centre staff after the publication of results.
- Candidate not having access to a member of senior staff after the publication of results to discuss/make a decision on the submission of a results review/enquiry.
- Candidate request for return of work after moderation and work not available/disposed of earlier than allowed in the regulations.
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a result (complainant to refer via exams officer to awarding body post-results services).
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a
 review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal (complainant to refer to the
 centre's internal appeals procedure).
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure.
- Centre applied for the wrong post-results service/for the wrong script for a candidate.
- Centre missed awarding body deadline to apply for a post-results service.
- Centre applied for a post-results service for a candidate without gaining required candidate consent/permission.

Appendix 3 – Complaint Form

Formal Complaint Form

Name:					
Address:					
Postcode:					
Email address:					
Telephone No:	Day:				
	Evening:				
	Mobile:				
What is the nature of your complaint? (Please use additional sheets if needed)					

Have you raised your issue(s) with your child's Class Teacher at the informal stage? If so, when did you do this?		No				
Have you complained to the Headteacher at Stage 1?	Yes (inc. date)	No				
What happened when you complained to the Headteacher?						
What are your desired outcomes?						
Signed:						
Date:						

Please return your completed complaint form to the School Office either by mail at Admin@kls.herts.sch.uk or in hard copy format and it will be passed to the Headteacher or Chair of Governors, depending on what stage the complaint is at and what it is regarding.